

# UCCSN Board of Regents' Meeting Minutes May 17-18, 1984

05-17-1984

Pages 70-104

BOARD OF REGENTS

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA SYSTEM

May 17-18, 1984

The Board of Regents met on the above dates in the Pine Auditorium, Student Union, University of Nevada, Reno.

Members present: Mr. John R. Mc Bride, Chairman

Ms. Frankie Sue Del Papa

Mrs. Lilly Fong

Mrs. Dorothy S. Gallagher

Mr. Chris Karamanos

Mrs. Joan Kenney

Mr. Daniel J. Klaich

Mrs. June F. Whitley

Members absent: Mrs. Jo Ann Sheerin

Others present: Chancellor Robert M. Bersi

President William Berg, NNCC

President Anthony Calabro, WNCC

President Joseph Crowley, UNR

President V. James Eardley, TMCC

President Leonard Goodall, UNLV

President Paul Meacham, CCCC

Vice President Al Gold, DRI

Mr. Donald Klasic, General Counsel

Mr. Mark Dawson, Deputy Chancellor

Ms. Mary Lou Moser, Secretary

Also present were Faculty Senate Chairs Rick Bareuther (Unit), John Clevenger (TMCC), Richard French (DRI), Myrlene La Mancusa (UNLV), Robin Nelson (CCCC), Bus Scharmman (WNCC), Joan Zenan (UNR), and Student Association Officers.

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Mc Bride at 9:35 A.M.

1. Approved the Consent Agenda

Approved the Consent Agenda (identified as Ref. A, and filed with the permanent minutes) containing the following items:

(1) Approved the minutes of the regular meeting held April 5-6, 1984 and the special teleconference meeting held April 24, 1984.

(2) Approved the gifts, grants and contracts as listed in Ref. C-1, filed with the permanent minutes.

(3) Approved the use of the Capital Improvement Fee Funds for the purchase and installation of a movable wall partition for Room 340, Carson Campus. This large classroom area with installation of a floor to ceiling sight barrier, sound proofed movable partition will allow for increased space for course offerings, particularly for daytime classes.

The project will be bid according to established policy with a price not to exceed \$26,000.

This project is critical for support of the Fall 1984 schedule of classes at Carson Campus.

(4) Approved the temporary reorganization of TMCC Administrative structure and salary change for Patricia

Miltenberger and Rita Gubanich. See Ref. C-4, filed with the permanent minutes.

(5) Approved the recommendation of DRI professional salaries to be continued for FY 84-85 at the same level as was approved for FY 83-84 except for increases provided from non-state funding.

(6) Approved the granting of a right-of-way for the 345 kv power line at the perimeter of the Dandini Research Park property to Sierra Pacific Power Company.

(7) Approved the following people to be added to the College of Engineering Advisory Board:

Frank Agardy, Ph. D., P. E., President of URS Corporation, San Mateo, California

Richard Arden, P. E., President of S. E. A. Engineers, Sparks, Nevada

George Ball, P. E., Wateresource Consulting Engineers, Reno, Nevada

Fred Daniels, P. E., Chairman of State Board of Professional Engineers, Carson City, Nevada

Clarence Jones, Investments, Reno, Nevada

Andrea Pelter, Chairman of the Board, Reno Iron Works  
Co., Inc., Reno, Nevada

Karl Pister, Ph. D., P. E., Dean, College of Engineer-  
ing, University of California, Berkeley, Califor-  
nia

James Toreson, President & Chief Executive Officer,  
Xebec Corporation, Gardnerville, Nevada

Roland Westergard, P. E., Director, Department of  
Conservation and Natural Resources, Carson City,  
Nevada

Tom Young, Consultant, Reno, Nevada

(8) Approved the bid for leasing the Chollar and Rotosi  
mining claims which are located outside Virginia City  
in the Brunswick Lode. RFPs were sent to 17 pros-  
pective bidders, with only 1 bid being received. The  
bid is from REA Gold Corporation of Vancouver, B. C.,  
which also met all the terms and conditions of the  
bid.

REA Gold will pay the University a fixed annual ad-  
vanced royalty payment against 6% of "net smelter  
returns", whichever is greater. Their offer is as  
follows:

|          |                  |
|----------|------------------|
| 1st year | \$28,000         |
| 2nd year | 30,000           |
| 3rd year | 35,000           |
| 4th year | 40,000           |
| 5th year | 50,000 and every |
|          | year thereafter. |

The term of the lease is for an initial period of 5 years and so long thereafter as minerals are produced in paying quantities from the property.

REA Gold submitted a good faith check for \$28,000 with their bid.

The 2 mining claims were gifted to the University of Nevada, Reno, on behalf of the Mackay School of Mines in December, 1976, by John and Mary Gojack.

(9) Approved the following change to the Handbook, Title 4, Chapter 17, Section 17.4, Apartment Rentals, UNR:

|                    | Current | Proposed    |
|--------------------|---------|-------------|
| Off-Campus Rentals | Rent    | Rent 7-1-84 |

|                             |       |       |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------|
| 1262 N. Sierra St. (4-plex) | \$265 | \$285 |
| 1 & 5 Artemisia             | 275   | 295   |
| 1039 Evans Ave.             | 450   | 465   |
| 1061 Evans Ave.             | 525   | 525   |
| 1147 Evans Ave.             | 475   | 490   |
| 90 Twilight (5-plex)        | 275   | 275   |
| 120 E. 9th, Apt. A          | 325   | 335   |
| 120 E. 9th, Apt. B          | 195   | 205   |
| 120 E. 9th, Apt. C          | 270   | 280   |
| 120 E. 9th, Apt. D          | 250   | 260   |
| 135 Anelli Lane             | 425   | 440   |

Rent shall be payable 1 month in advance. Before moving in, the tenant shall pay the first month's rent plus a general deposit, equal to the monthly rent, to cover cleaning and damages. The general deposit shall be returned when the tenant leaves, providing the apartment is clean to the satisfaction of the University, and that there are no other damages or deficiencies for which the tenant is responsible.

(10) Approved the change to Handbook, Title 4, Chapter 17, Section 10, Student Fees, UNR. The current fee

charged at each Campus per examination is \$10. It was approved that this fee be raised to \$25. Students in good standing who have the knowledge and skills taught in a University or Community College course may qualify to take examinations for credit from specific Departmental course offerings.

The present fee covers few costs of processing and testing these students and an increase would help defray expenses. In addition, this will be more in line with other examination fees, such as the College Level Examination Program (CLEP).

(11) Approved the following proposed student rates for insurance with Keystone Student Insurance Company:

(1) Individual coverage per semester with \$100

deductible                      \$ 63.00

(2) Individual coverage for Summer with \$100

deductible                      \$ 44.00

(3) Individual coverage for entire year \$155.00



This insurance is voluntary. Many students are covered on their own family or employer health policies and do not take this insurance.

Mrs. Whitley moved for adoption of the Consent Agenda. Mrs. Kenney seconded. Motion carried.

2. Information Item: Report by Deloitte, Haskins and Sells -  
Needs and Specifications for Administrative Computing

In August, 1982 the Board pledged support for establishing a Management Information System (MIS) for UNS. In December, 1983 the firm of Deloitte, Haskins and Sells was hired to undertake a study of the needs of the particular users within the System for these computing services. Two System-wide committees were appointed. Mark Dawson, Deputy Chancellor, Chaired the Management Systems Committee to determine administrative needs; Dr. Warren Fox, Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs, Chaired the Academic Computing Committee to determine academic needs. Deloitte, Haskins and Sells conducted a survey of some 200 System users and has worked closely with these 2 Committees. See Ref. N, filed in the Regents Office.

Deputy Chancellor Dawson introduced the Chairs of the sub-committees as follows: Mr. Bob Jeffers, Human Resources, Dr. Karen Kunkle, Institutional Studies, Mr. Harold Scott, Financial, Mr. Steve Neiheisel, Student Accounting, and Mr. George Tavernia, Physical Facilities.

Dr. Norm Forste, Deloitte, Haskins and Sells representative, explained the executive summary report submitted as Ref. N. Mr. Klaich questioned the availability and payment plan for the necessary equipment. Dr. Forste stated that it would take approximately 1 year for the equipment to be developed, plus 6-8 months for installation in the South and \$40,000 would be paid up front with the remainder of \$250,000 would be required during the next biennium. The Computing Center has received half of the equipment from Burroughs and additional equipment will be installed in the future. There will need to be some remodeling of the Computing Centers in both the North and the South in order to provide more space for the additional equipment. Mrs. Fong suggested that this project be incorporated into the School of Engineering at UNLV.

Mrs. Gallagher asked for clarification of whether or not the System has received value in obtaining the Burroughs equip-

ment.

Dr. Forste replied that UNS was indeed receiving value, relating a similar experience in which his firm was involved in the California State System. In that project CSU had paid some \$800,000 for only two modules. UNS will have in excess of 20 modules, which will be the equivalent of \$2-3 million, for \$535,000 to MSG who is tailoring their systems to meet UNS needs.

Deputy Chancellor Dawson reiterated that the implementation schedules which Dr. Forste presented are those of Deloitte, Haskins and Sells and that MSG and UNS would probably modify these schedules. He informed the Board that additional people would be included in the draft of the budget which will be presented at the June meeting.

Mrs. Gallagher commended Deloitte, Haskins and Sells, Dr. Forste, Deputy Chancellor Dawson, Ron Sparks and the Committee for their excellent job and cooperation on this project.

Deputy Chancellor Dawson answered a question posed by Ms. Del Papa regarding any further direction for the Chancellor's Office and its staff by stating that after the next

Legislative Session there will be a financial plan presented for the resources that are available. Chancellor Bersi stated that after the Governor's Budget has been formulated in December, there will be adjustments made to the budget and next April or May the budget will be closed with these adjustments. Mrs. Whitley requested a separate report regarding any reduction in force and the retraining costs.

Deputy Chancellor Dawson explained that \$900,000 is an additional annual operating cost in the Computing Center. The Computing Center budget will be presented to the Board at the June meeting.

Dr. Forste thanked the Committee, stating members had shown every consideration and cooperation.

### 3. Approved Reconsideration of UNS Banking Services

Chairman Mc Bride asked for reconsideration of the UNS policy on banking services (see Ref. M, filed in the Regents Office).

In October, 1983 the Board of Regents adopted the Charter

for Finance and Administration of the UNS, contained in the Board of Regents Handbook, Title 4, Chapter 9B, Section 3.1, which requires that the UNS administer a consolidated cash management program. At the April, 1984 Board of Regents meeting, the Board approved the bidding of the UNS banking services.

UNS Controller Janet Mac Donald explained the circumstances of the UNS cash management program, stating that UNS has about \$150 million per year that goes through its bank accounts. At any point in time, UNS has up to \$40 million in short term investments. Historically, UNS has tried to support the community by using all the banks in the State, but has found that through an aggressive cash management program, the bank accounts should be centralized and UNS should use one bank statewide in order to maximize interest income to the System. Ms. Mac Donald stated that Nevada National Bank has customized a system for the northern institutions to effectively manage the cash so that there is no more than \$200,000 a day average cash balance. This amount is down from about \$3 million a day a year ago. She has met with Valley Bank of Nevada officers and feels confident that they too can provide a similar service. She estimates that by pooling the cash resources there will be

an increase in UNS investment incomes to a minimum of \$500,000 per year. She asked for direction from the Board in continuing to centralize the cash management program and, if so, which bank is to be utilized.

Mr. Larry Charlton, Manager of the Corporate Servicing Department of Valley Bank of Nevada, submitted a proposal with no volume figures included, and explained Valley Bank's proposal. Mrs. Fong queried whether Valley Bank is the carrier for the State of Nevada, to which Mr. Charlton responded in the affirmative and stated that they are in the beginning process of taking over that account. Mr. Klaich asked if the State of Nevada account was put out for bid, which it was.

Mr. Ted Wehking, Executive Vice President for Administration of Nevada National Bank, stated that Nevada National Bank was invited to attend the Board of Regents meeting within the last two days and did not prepare a comparative proposal. He stated that what Valley Bank is proposing, Nevada National Bank was already in the process of doing. He then gave a review of the services Nevada National Bank offers to UNS. Mr. Karamanos requested clarification on the banking service fees. Mr. Wehking stated that there were no

service charges until last January, at which time they started charging on an average of \$3500 to the highest of \$7000. Valley Bank does not have a service charge at this time; however, UNS has had an amount of up to \$3,000,000 in accounts there on which Valley Bank drew the interest.

Mr. Klaich requested clarification of the agenda item, stating that the item suggests a bid process. Ms. Mac Donald explained that originally they had planned to go to bid, and that the UNS could still go to bid, but the problem with going to bid is that the System has never done the services centrally with all the accounts, so it would be difficult to compare on a cost basis as that is only one of the factors, while the other aspects include services and quality.

Mr. Klaich questioned the differences between the services that the System can expect from Valley Bank of Nevada and Nevada National Bank relative to the sizes of the bank, the location of the banking outlets, number of branches, etc.

Ms. Mac Donald answered by stating that both banks seem to be about the same when considering costs, but that they both have strengths and weaknesses.

Ms. Mac Donald stated that an average of about \$6500 per

months will be spent on the banking services, but from that amount the System will net approximately half a million more in investment income. The System has already netted 1/4 of a million dollars in the north with the additional income.

She was not sure whether a bidding process was mandatory.

Mrs. Fong asked Mr. Harold Scott, UNLV Controller, to speak to this issue of working with the banks. Mr. Scott stated that in working with 3 banks in the south, each bank provides different services, they each perform very well, and that he could not actually give a comparison. He felt that doing business with the 3 banks does prohibit the System from maximizing cash investments in the south because of the need to transfer funds on a daily basis.

Mr. Karamanos commended Ms. Mac Donald for her efforts. He suggested that there should be a period for analyzing the services throughout the year and to see how the services are rendered to the System in the future.

Mr. Karamanos moved that the award be given to Valley Bank of Nevada, effective July 1, 1984. Mrs. Kenney seconded.

Chairman Mc Bride requested that the motion be amended to



read that there will be consideration in reviewing this for a bid process starting July 1, 1985. Mr. Karamanos was not sure that this type of an award could go to bid, but if the staff could devise a bidding process over the next year, then that would be acceptable.

Ms. Mac Donald clarified that the System cannot change bank accounts until January, 1985 because administratively it would be impossible and that it would take Valley Bank some time to write computer programs for the services. She stated that if the award were presented to Nevada National Bank, then the process would begin on July 1, 1984, but if there is a change, Valley Bank will need time in developing the system. She requested that if there is going to be a change in banks, the System will need at least 3 years at that bank before going into a bid process, as it is a very massive process in changing the accounts over to another bank.

Mr. Karamanos amended his motion to read that the effective date will be January 1, 1985 for a 3-year duration.

Mr. Klaich questioned the decision in maintaining Nevada National Bank, that it would go into effect immediately,

and that if we switched over to Valley Bank, then it would go into effect in January, 1985. Ms. Mac Donald stated that some accounts will go into effect immediately if the change is made, but the actual checking accounts wouldn't take place until January. He asked about the loss of money because of the failure of pooling the cash and what was the cause of this loss. Ms. Mac Donald stated that in having 2 accounts, there is float in both accounts. It's the fact that a million dollars bring 100 basis points more than 2 \$500,000 investments. So there will be more power with the money.

Upon roll call vote, the motion carried.

Yes: Regents Del Papa, Fong, Karamanos, Kenney,  
Whitley, Mc Bride

No : Klaich, because there was not sufficient information from Nevada National Bank

Mrs. Gallagher abstained because of conflict of interest

The following introductions were made by the different Campuses:

President Crowley, UNR, introduced Jane Manning, Director of Information, Joan Zenan, Faculty Senate Chair, and Chris

Polemimi, ASUN President.

President Calabro, WNCC, introduced Bus Scharmann, Faculty  
Senate Chair.

President Eardley, TMCC, introduced John Clevenger, Faculty  
Senate Chair.

President Goodall, UNLV, introduced Myrlene La Mancusa,  
Faculty Senate Chair, and Kent Anderson, CSUN President.

Vice President Gold, DRI, introduced Richard French, Faculty  
Senate Chair.

President Meacham, CCCC, introduced Robin Nelson, Faculty  
Senate Chair.

#### 4. Approved the Proposal to Provide Custodial Services for Endowment Funds

The Investment Committee presented recommendations for a  
custodial bank to hold all securities managed by the 3 money  
managers which the Board of Regents approved at its April  
meeting.

The Investment Committee has sent a request for proposal (see Ref. K, filed in the Regents Office) to 4 Nevada banks: First Interstate Bank, Nevada National Bank, Security Bank and Valley Bank. Any bank which has a primary membership in the Depository Trust Company or has a clearing account with a primary member bank is eligible to submit a proposal, which proposal is due May 4, 1984. The Committee recommended that the Custodial Services for the Endowment Funds be awarded to Valley Bank of Nevada.

Mrs. Fong moved approval of the proposal to provide Custodial Services for the Endowment Funds to Valley Bank of Nevada. Mr. Karamanos seconded. Mrs. Gallagher abstained.

Motion carried.

Mr. Karamanos left the meeting at this time.

5. Report on UNS Academic Computing Committee - Recommendations on Instructional and Research Computing

The UNS Academic Computing Committee (AAC) has conducted Campus surveys during the 1983-84 academic year, reviewed the UNSCC planning and budget documents, and discussed in-

structional and research oriented computing.

Vice Chancellor Fox, Chair of the UNS Academic Computing Committee, presented a report on academic and instructional computing within the System. The UNS Academic Computing Committee was formed in the Summer of 1983 and appointed by the Chancellor. Vice Chancellor Fox reviewed the composition of the Committee and its mission. The basic purpose of the Academic Computing Committee is outlined as follows:

The University of Nevada System Academic Computing Committee, with representatives from all System units, shall be responsible for recommendations concerning academic and instructional computing. It shall serve as the principle contact among System components and insure that the needs of academic units are effectively represented at the System level.

The Academic Computing Committee is also responsible for reviewing the use of academic computing resources and the allocation of such resources within the System, and for fostering improved communication among the Campuses concerning instructional and research computing. It also has as an objective to improve

Systemwide planning for academic computing.

Vice Chancellor Fox reviewed the activities of the Committee. He noted that an inventory of computing hardware throughout the System is currently being conducted, preliminary results were presented to the Board, and after further research final results of the survey will be published. An additional task is the completion of a survey of instructional computing at all Campuses within the System. This project is almost completed. The Committee has also reviewed the UNSCC workplan for 1984-85. The Academic Computing Committee supports the workplan provided by the Computing Center and has made some specific recommendations to improve Campus academic computing. He also pointed out that the Academic computing Committee at regular intervals is participating in and making comments about the MIS project under the auspices of the Management System Committee.

Overall, the Academic Computing Committee has determined that significant academic and instructional computing needs exist throughout the System. The Committee wishes to underscore the importance of academic computing and the curricula of our Campuses and to describe these needs at the System level.

The Academic Computing Committee has made much progress over the last year and increasing communication and cooperation among the Campuses and with the Computing Center. The Committee in the near future will review various alternatives for strengthening academic computing on the Campuses and providing additional resources to the Campuses for instructional and research oriented computing.

Chancellor Bersi stated that it is important to review the Committee's recommendations and that there are significant gifts to the System for this important activity. Mrs. Fong questioned if the Campuses would be more responsible in receiving such gifts. President Calabro announced that WNCC received an anonymous gift last month which will enhance a new program in micro accounting next Fall.

6. Approved Phase II, A. A. S. Geotechnical Science, NNCC

Approved the Phase II proposal of an A. A. S. Degree in Geotechnical Science at NNCC. See Ref. I, filed in the Regents Office.

The Phase II proposal includes a description of a represent-

ative course of study, which includes 36-39 credits in the major occupational area, with a total of 60-63 credits required for completion of the program.

The initial budget request includes 1 FTE faculty, 1 laboratory assistant, equipment needs, operating and library requirements. 4th cost projections are estimated at \$34,350. However, current physical science instructors will be utilized and equipment will come from existing funds, grants and donations by mining companies.

This geotechnical program is the only one of its kind in the area and will fulfill a need by training people in skills which are in demand by the mineral industry.

Although the market is relatively small, it is anticipated graduates from this program will find employment in the mineral industry both in Nevada and the Western United States. The program is expected to increase from 4 to 12 FTE students by the 4th year, but enrollment limits will be maintained.

The Phase I proposal was approved by the Board of Regents in April, 1984. The Academic Affairs Council and Vice



Chancellor Fox recommended approval of the Phase II program.

Mrs. Fong questioned Mr. Charles Greenhaw if the program is transferable. Mr. Greenhaw replied by stating some programs were at this time, but that this program was not designed for transfer. Mr. Klaich queried whether or not NNCC would lose any classes or instructors, which was answered in the negative. Mr. Klaich commended NNCC for utilizing the resources to enhance rural education.

Mr. Greenhaw expressed his appreciation to Dr. Dick Davies, Vice President of Academic Affairs at UNR, for his cooperation.

Mrs. Gallagher moved approval of the Phase II, A. A. S. Degree in Geotechnical Science at NNCC. Mrs. Whitley seconded. Motion carried.

#### 7. Approved the 1984-85 Operating Budget

Vice Chancellor Ron Sparks presented the System 1984-85 Operating Budget (see Ref. D, filed in the Regents Office).

The 1984-85 Operating Budget does contain funding for a

1 1/2% salary trigger from the State in the amount of \$1,074,000. There are 40 new professional positions being added and 9 classified positions added. It is important to note that the enrollments are down 1.8% Systemwide from last year and 6.2% below the budgeted level. This will have a definite impact in the construction of the 1985-87 Biennial Budget.

The last section of the Operating Budget contains the Estimative Budgets. Of important interest is the Estimative Budget for UNR Engineering containing 7 new faculty positions to be funded by Capital Improvement Fee Funds. Also of note, is an opening balance of \$125,000 in the UNLV Athletic Budget which indicates that a deficit in this budget will be satisfied by the end of this fiscal year (FY 84).

Chairman Mc Bride questioned the advisability of using Capital Improvement Fee funds for the support of faculty. President Crowley answered that this was an unusual situation and had been discussed at the last Legislative Session, that due to the threat of the loss of accreditation in the Engineering Program, measures such as these would have to be taken, and that UNR will be seeking State funding for this

measure in the 1985 Session.

The Silver Bowl Estimative Budget contains no funding for Capital Improvements; it is simply an Operating Budget for the next fiscal year.

The UNR Estimative Budget for Intercollegiate Athletics contains Capital Improvement Fee Funds and Jot Travis Student Union Funds, which had been reviewed with the students who have approved the use of these funds in this manner.

Ms. Del Papa moved approval of the 1984-85 Operating Budget.

Mrs. Fong seconded. Motion carried.

#### 8. Personnel Session

Upon motion by Mrs. Kenney, seconded by Mrs. Whitley, the Board moved to a closed personnel session for the purpose of discussing the character and competence of personnel of the University of Nevada System in accordance with NRS 241.030.

The Chairman reconvened the Board in public session at 2:25 P.M.

All Regents present with the exception of Mr. Karamanos and Mrs. Sheerin.

9. Approved the Promotions or Assignment to Rank, Tenure and Emeritus Status

Chairman Mc Bride requested that the emergency items regarding promotions to Emeritus Status at UNR, UNLV and TMCC be approved for discussion.

Ms. Del Papa moved approval to accept the emergency item regarding promotions to Emeritus Status. Mrs. Kenney seconded. Motion carried.

Chairman Mc Bride requested the Presidents to address the items regarding Promotion or Assignment to Rank, Tenure and Emeritus Status all at once.

A. University of Nevada, Reno - President Crowley recommended the following promotions, effective July 1, 1984:

John L. Dobra, to Associate Professor of Economics

A. N. Reddy, to Associate Professor of Internal Medicine

President Crowley recommended award to tenure, effective July 1, 1984, to the following:

Kenneth J. Kurtz, Associate Professor of Internal

Medicine

John H. Peacock, Associate Professor of Internal

Medicine

President Crowley requested approval of the promotion of William R. Haig to Associate Professor of Managerial Sciences Emeritus. He has announced his retirement effective June 30, 1984 after 17 years of service to the University.

Ms. Del Papa moved approval. Mrs. Gallagher seconded.

Motion carried.

B. University of Nevada, Las Vegas - President Goodall recommended the following promotion effective July 1, 1984:

John Goodwin, Professor, Hotel Administration

President Goodall recommended approval of promotion to Emeritus Status for Ms. Alice Brown to Librarian Emeritus.

Mrs. Fong moved approval. Mrs. Kenney seconded. Motion carried.

C. Truckee Meadows Community College - President Eardley recommended approval of promotion to Emeritus status for Chauncey Oakley, who has served the University for 60 years, to Instructor in Mathematics Emeritus.

Ms. Del Papa moved approval. Mrs. Kenney seconded. Motion carried.

10. Approved the Change in Handbook, Title 4, Chapter 1, Section 4, Advisory Boards and Committees

Title 4, Chapter 1, Section 4, Advisory Board and Committees of the Handbook makes reference to "Type 1 and Type 2" advisory boards or committees. However, these terms are not defined. The Board of Regents meeting of April 2-3, 1976, first adopted this section and stated that a "Type 1" advisory board or committee was a board or committee established solely to provide advice to a member institution or a component unit thereof. A "Type 2" advisory board or committee was one which was set up within an institution or on a System basis in response to a State or federal law or

regulation for the purposes of assisting in the implementation of a State or federal program.

Subsequently, amendments to the above referenced section removed references to the type of boards or committees which constituted "Type 1" or "Type 2" categories. General Counsel Klasic recommended that this section be amended as follows:

3. Appointments to type 1 advisory boards or committees shall require Board of Regents approval; appointments to type 2 advisory boards or committees shall require approval of the institutional President.
- Type 1 advisory boards or committees shall consist of boards or committees created for the purpose of advising a System institution or a component part thereof. Type 2 advisory board or committees shall consist of boards or committees which have been appointed in response to a State or federal law or regulation, or in conformity with a State or federal grant or contract.

Mrs. Kenney moved approval of the change in the Handbook.

Mrs. Fong seconded. Motion carried.

11. Approved the Parking Agreement, UNR/USBM

Approved the Parking Agreement between UNR and the United States Bureau of Mines as outlined in Ref. F, filed with the permanent minutes.

Mrs. Kenney moved approval of the Parking Agreement between UNR and the United States Bureau of Mines. Ms. Del Papa seconded. Motion carried.

12. Approved the Promotion to Emeritus Status, UNR

Approved the promotion to Emeritus Status for the following:

Charles P. Bartl, Professor of Educational Foundation &

Media Emeritus

Robert E. Diamond, Professor of English Emeritus

Margarete Hagner, Lecture in Foreign Languages & Litera-

tures Emeritus

Mrs. Gallagher moved approval. Mrs. Fong seconded. Motion carried.



13. Approved the Appointment to Dean of the School of Home

Economics, UNR

Approved the appointment of Dr. Sharon A. Wallace as Dean of the School of Home Economics, UNR, effective August 1, 1984.

The appointment is with tenure as Professor of Home Economics, and carries a salary of \$48,000.

Dr. Wallace, currently the Assistant Dean of the College of Home Economics at Oregon State University, was the first choice of the Dean's Search Committee.

Mrs. Kenney moved approval of the appointment of Dr. Sharon

A. Wallace as Dean of the School of Home Economics. Ms.

Del Papa seconded. Motion carried.

14. Report of Reorganization, UNR

President Crowley discussed the forthcoming reorganization of the Office of Public Affairs at UNR. He stated that when Mr. Steve Harrison, Director of Development, left in February, UNR spent much time working with the Executive Committee of the Board of Trustees of the Foundation and with a consultant in determining what course may be taken in the

future with regard to the organization of the Foundation and they determined that the best course to follow would be to move Vice President Richard Dankworth, who is UNR's most experienced and capable fund raiser, to spending the bulk of his time in fund raising.

In order for this new assignment to be effective, a number of Dr. Dankworth's responsibilities will need to be portioned elsewhere with the view towards enhancing the fund raising effort. The changes are as follows: the College Inn and the Stead Apartments will now report to the Vice President for Finance and Administration; the Fleischmann Planetarium will report to the Director of University Services; the Office of School Relations is still undetermined, but it may report to the Office of Student Services which is also being reorganized.

In the future, approximately 80% of Dr. Dankworth's time will be spent as a fund raiser for the University.

#### 15. Report of Vice President for Academic Affairs Search, UNLV

President Goodall received a report from the Search Committee with a list of nominations for the Vice President

for Academic Affairs. He stated that his intention is to check with the other groups on Campus and then check with the final candidates for the Presidency before bringing a recommendation to the Board. It will be a joint recommendation between himself and the new President.

16. Approved Phase II, A. A. S. Degree in Respiratory Therapy, CCCC

Approved the Phase II proposal for an A. A. S. Degree in Respiratory Therapy at CCCC. See Ref.H, filed in the Regents Office. This proposal will expand the existing Respiratory Therapy Technician program to an Associate of Applied Science Degree. The current Technician program is fully accredited, and accreditation of the Therapist level will be sought. In keeping with these accreditation guidelines, the program will be limited to 25 students per class. Entrance requirements for the program will include high school graduation or GED certificate, minimum ACT or SAT scores, and evaluation of other transcripts and test results. A grade of C or better will be required in the core curriculum.

Completion of the program will require the student to enroll

in a total of 82 credits (39 for the Technician program, plus an additional 43 credits to complete the A. A. S. Degree at the Therapist level). The time frame for completion of the Degree will involve 6 semesters, 2 of which will be Summer Sessions.

Curriculum is designed in a step-ladder approach, with new courses developed in several areas, including Respiratory Therapy, and Respiratory Care Specialities.

The proposed date of implementation will be September, 1984, with the first students graduating from the program in August, 1985.

Clark County Community College currently offers the only Respiratory Therapy program in Nevada. This proposal would provide students with an opportunity to advance their skills and knowledge and obtain the Therapist credential. At present, training beyond the Technical level must be completed out-of-state.

Additional resources required for implementation will be minimal, as the proposal expands on the existing Technician program. A part-time faculty position will be required at

an annual cost of \$3000 and it is estimated \$4000-\$6000 worth of additional equipment would allow implementation. No special facilities are required, other than utilization of classroom space.

The Phase I proposal was approved by the Board of Regents in February, 1984. The Academic Affairs Council has reviewed the Phase II proposal and Vice Chancellor Fox recommended approval of this program.

Mrs. Gallagher moved approval of Phase II, A. A. S. Degree in Respiratory Therapy at CCCC. Mrs. Kenney seconded. Motion carried.

#### 17. Report of Graduate Education Programs, UNR and UNLV

At its October, 1981 meeting, the Board approved a request from UNR for \$15,000 to initiate a 3-year review and evaluation of its 19 doctoral and 48 masters' programs. In November, 1981 UNLV received \$14,800 for a similar review. Each of these requests were funded from the Board of Regents Special Projects Account.

Dr. John Unrue, Interim Vice President for Academic Affairs,

reported that there have been 13 Departments which have been reviewed and that these reports are on file at UNLV. See Ref. C, filed in the Regents Office.

Dr. John Nellor, Dean of the Graduate School, reported that there have been 39 Master programs and 17 Doctoral programs reviewed. He informed the Board that the review process was taken under a team effort which consisted of Administration, computing personnel and out-of-state people. The team stated that there is a good quality of programs and faculty and the Board can be proud of the graduate programs. See Ref. B, filed in the Regents Office.

#### 18. Discussion on the Regent Meeting Site at UNLV

Upon completion of the Thomas and Mack Center at UNLV, the Board was offered the use of a meeting room, which would be named the Regents Room, and would house future Regent activities in the southern part of the State. In April, 1984 the Board meeting was held at this facility. A survey was taken of those attending that meeting to determine their opinion of the facility (see Ref. J, filed in the Regents Office).

The move to this room would require additional sound equip-

ment immediately, and certain other equipment at a future date.

There was some discussion on this matter, some Regents stating that this was not the appropriate time to be making such a move and others stating that it would be a positive move.

Ms. Del Papa moved approval to move the Regents meeting room to the Thomas and Mack Center at UNLV. Mrs. Whitley seconded. There were 3 nays and 4 ayes registered. The motion failed for lack of 5 affirmative votes, as required by the Board of Regents Bylaws.

The meeting recessed at 3:00 P.M. for a joint meeting of the State Board of Education and the Board of Regents, and reconvened on Friday, May 18, 1984 at 9:00 A.M. All Regents were present with the exception of Chairman Mc Bride, Vice Chairman Gallagher, Mr. Karamanos and Mrs. Sheerin. Ms. Del Papa was appointed Acting Chairman.

President Crowley introduced Dr. Sharon Wallace, newly appointed Dean of Home Economics.

19. Approved Phase I, School of Journalism and Center for

Advanced Media Studies, UNR

Approved the Phase I proposal to change the Department of Journalism to School status, within the College of Arts and Science. See Ref. G, filed in the Regents Office.

The Board of Regents, at its March, 1983 meeting, supported the concept of establishing a separate school and accepted a gift from the Donald W. Reynolds Foundation for the School.

The School will include both undergraduate and graduate programs, applied research and continuing education for professionals. The Center for Advanced Media Studies will offer advanced courses and seminars for mid-career professionals.

The School will also provide courses to students in other professional schools.

The curriculum will place emphasis in writing skills development, ethics and responsibility and history of the media. It is the perception of the Journalism faculty that liberal arts should play an important role in the Journalism students' education, and the curriculum will reflect this.



The proposal states the need for one additional full time position for Dean of the School to be filled by 1985, and a total of 10.5 faculty positions by the 4th year. The Department currently employs 7 faculty, and a combination of State and private money will provide the additional positions.

Enrollment in Journalism programs is expected to remain strong, increasing by over 100 FTE by the 4th year of the reorganization. The proposed date of implementation is scheduled for Fall, 1984.

The University of Nevada, Reno, Master Plan and Comprehensive Program Review have both proposed a School of Journalism to be a potential center of excellence. UNR has an opportunity to provide the outstanding School of Journalism in the Western United States.

As there is an increasing number of newspapers, magazines and radio and television stations, the School will be able to meet expanding employment opportunities for students, and continuing education needs of professionals. During the past few years, a significant amount of private funds

has been generated for equipment, activities and visiting professors' salaries, and this support is expected to continue in the future.

For the immediate future, the School will be located within the College of Arts and Science, but plans call for an independent School at a future date. The Academic Affairs Council recommended the proposal, and Vice Chancellor Fox recommended approval of the Phase I proposal.

Mrs. Fong questioned if the School will have independent status to which President Crowley answered that after an initial period of 1 to 2 years the School would have quasi status, in that there will be support from the Dean's Office during its transitional status. Eventually it will become the Donald W. Reynolds School of Journalism when independence is attained. He noted that the Dean will require additional monies, but the support staff will be generated by the growth of the School. President Crowley stated that there is an already existing Department of Journalism which has experienced a large growth and will be seeking additional State funding in the Phase II proposal. The Department has increased by about 20% in the State funding budget. There will be 1 FTE increase, but they have 5 visiting

professors and will be anticipating 3-4 additional State funded positions.

Mr. Klaich stated that this proposal is consistent in the future plans for UNR and that it was an excellent plan. He felt that there was good support from the community.

Mrs. Whitley moved approval of Phase I, School of Journalism and Center for Advanced Media Studies at UNR. Mrs. Kenney seconded. Motion carried.

President Crowley introduced Ms. Bourne Morris, Acting Chair of the Department of Journalism. Ms. Morris stated that there were only 10 schools in the country to receive accreditation and that there has been an increase in enrollment due to the fact of higher class load than the accreditation allows (15-1). She stated that 75% of the credits must be taken out of the Department. There must be a need for strong faculty advising of students. Mr. Klaich requested clarification on the advising staff, if there is a need for additional faculty to work with advising students. Ms. Morris stated that the normal faculty of 6 1/2 FTE would be able to handle this. She also stated that the placing of students was outstanding and would be sending a copy of the

tracking report of recent graduate students.

## 20. Legislative Workshop

A. In February, 1984 the System Compensation Committee presented their recommendations on Professional Compensation for the next biennium. Dr. William Cathey, Faculty Senate Chair, and Ms. Sally Kees, Chairman of the Salary and Benefits Committee at UNR, presented to the Board a thorough presentation on the University of Nevada System salaries and benefits (see Ref. O, filed with the permanent minutes). They stated several purposes of the Committee, such as to meet the basic needs and the health needs; to attract competent faculty with specific skills; to encourage high productivity; to retain high-quality faculty; and to develop pride and loyalty.

Chairman Mc Bride returned and resumed the gavel.

There are 2 different ways in which costs are developed:

1) if the pay raise were to go into effect on January 1, 1985, retroactive, the cost estimation would be \$38.5 million; 2) if the pay raise were to go into effect on July 1, 1984, retroactive, the cost estimation would be

\$34 million.

In attempting to project new monies, if the System assumes that the System will continue to receive its current share of the General Fund from the State of 18.6%, and assumes the State revenue will continue to grow at the rate of 5% per year, then the new money to the System will be about \$23.6 million. It was also discussed that if the rate of growth is 7.5%, then the System's share will be about \$34.4 million, and if the rate of growth is 10%, then the System's share will be about \$45.6 million.

In discussing the Health Insurance Benefits, it was brought to the Board's attention that there have been reductions in benefits since 1981. The dependent premiums have increased, while the benefits have continually decreased. The Committee will recommend to the Legislature to adjust these figures for the next biennium.

Ms. Mary Ann Lambert discussed the equalization of faculty salaries between the Community Colleges and the Universities. Mr. Klaich requested from the President's

Council a report at the October meeting regarding the faculty salaries. President Crowley stated several inequities of the UNS Compensation program such as setting salary goals, part-time salaries, Community College salary schedule, and Administration salaries.

B. Vice Chancellor Fox and Dr. Karen Kunkle reported on the Annualized System Enrollments (see Ref. L, filed with the permanent minutes). It was stated that the enrollment figures were down within the System, but it was also mentioned that enrollment figures are down in the western region as well as nationwide. The number of high school graduates which the System draws from are down also. As reported in Ref. L, FTE is 1.75 and the headcount is 1.36.

Mr. Klaich was concerned about the high school graduate pool. In Nevada there is a high export of graduates to out-of-state schools. He questioned if this drop in enrollment will effect the 1985-87 enrollment report.

Dr. Kunkle responded by stating that in 1985-87 the enrollment figures will probably remain flat for the next couple of years. In 1986-87 she felt that there may be a significant increase.

Vice Chancellor Sparks expressed his concerns regarding the projected and the budgeted enrollment figures. The enrollment figures have decreased by 6.2% and in order to meet the budget figures there will need to be a 11% increase.

The meeting recessed at 11:30 A.M. and reconvened at 2:20 P.M. in a continuation of the closed Personnel Session, with the regular meeting reconvening at 3:25 P.M. All Regents were present with the exception of Mr. Karamanos and Mrs. Sheerin.

#### 21. Approved Student Association Bylaws

In June, 1983 Student Officers were requested to review Bylaws and/or Constitutions and to present for adoption needed changes to conform with accountability requirements.

It was determined that the Bylaws and/or Constitutions for student governments at CCCC, NNCC and TMCC would need no revision and at the April 5-6, 1984 meeting, WNCC's Constitution was approved.

A. President Crowley requested approval as contained in

Ref. E and qualified by Ref. E1 of the UNR Associated

Students of the University of Nevada Constitution.

(Both References are filed with the permanent minutes.)

General Counsel Klasic understands Dean Barnes' recommendation in the memorandum, Ref. E1, to be that the Board of Regents approve only the first sentence of the proposed amendment to Section 640.1 of the ASUN Constitution, with UNR officials to continue to work with ASUN to work out mutually acceptable fiscal procedures.

General Counsel also concurs with Dean Barnes' recommendation of approval of amendments to Sections 410.2F and 510.2B of the ASUN Constitution.

Mr. Klaich expressed his concerns regarding the lack of autonomy in the ASUN Constitution and that there needs to be responsibility within their governing document.

The Board has an obligation to treat students in a responsible manner and the Board has a right to expect from them that they realize their integral portion of funds and their integral role in the entire University governance system. They have set up extensive internal controls for their funds by going through extensive



budgeting procedures which originate in their Boards, they proceed through student government, through their Business Manager, through the Dean, to the President and to the Board for approval. The Board segregates money for the students and reviews their budgets to see what they want done with their money and the Board approves it. If there are revisions to that budget, then those budgetary revisions should similarly go through similar processes. This is an essential element of accountability that this Board has no intention abrogating. The Board, by law and the Constitution, is the final authority in the System.

Mr. Klaich recommended approval of the ASUN Bylaws with minor adjustments as voted on by the students as submitted in the Ref. E, including Section 640.1 with the addition of a phrase in the first sentence to read:

"ASUN shall follow System and University fiscal policies when budgeting for and expending student government fees collected by the Board of Regents and the revenue generated therefrom." He expressed his concern that ASUN is an accountable part of the System, that ASUN follows normal budgetary procedures, and that the budgets are scrutable for their expenditure and allocation

of funds, and when expenditures are made pursuant to those funds, that they follow normal purchasing processes and that they are covered by funds and are legal.

Mr. Klaich moved approval of the ASUN Constitution with minor adjustments including Section 640.1's addition to the first sentence. Mrs. Kenney seconded.

President Crowley stated that this is an historical issue and a symbolic issue. He feels that the students do exercise very considerable autonomy over their own budget. This issue has not been a high priority for the Presidents of the institutions. The Presidents were encouraged to develop a policy that would discourage illegal spending of student funds, which the Presidents developed and which was approved by the Board. He stated that he felt this was not an unreasonable policy.

He recommended approval of the proposed ASUN Constitution. He pointed out to the Board that it could mean that the effect would be to have student governments with different constitutions and different types of authorities. For instance, ASUN would have a reporting relationship between the student government and the Board of Regents. President Crowley stated that if the

Board approved the Constitution in that manner, he would have no objection, but he is pleased with the current arrangement and which is the one proposed in this Constitution if approved by the Board.

Ms. Del Papa requested clarification on the motion which Mr. Klaich recommended and President Crowley explained regarding fiscal checking. Mr. Klaich stated that this was not the intent of his recommendation. President Crowley clarified that there have been past situations on the Campuses that the expenditure issue would need resolving at the level of the Board because the institution through its hierarchy would not have had a role to play in reviewing the expenditure. If this should occur, the President and the Dean of Students would not be held accountable and the Board would need to relate directly with the student government on that issue. Mr. Klaich stated that he expected similar lines of review of compliance of those expenditures with previously budgeted and approved items, even recognizing that budgets are not so detailed that they can be done with mathematical certainty.

General Counsel Klasic spoke against Mr. Klaich's mo-

tion regarding Section 640.1. He expressed his interpretation of the second sentence of Section 640.1 which is proposed by ASUN, which, if approved, will constitute an improper and unconstitutional delegation of power to the ASUN body. Section 640.1 reads as follows:

ASUN shall follow System and University fiscal policies when expending student government fees collected by the Board of Regents and the revenue generated therefrom. The University of System Administration will not exercise prior approval of any expenditure by the student government, with the exceptions of verifying availability of funding and the legality of expenditure.

General Counsel Klasic stated the principles behind this language. Article 11, Section 4 of the Nevada Constitution vests control of the University of Nevada System in a Board of Regents. The Nevada Supreme Court has held that the framers of the Constitution intended to vest exclusive executive and administrative control of the University in its Board of Regents and such control may not be exercised by another entity. *King v. Board of Regents*, 65 Nev. 533, 200 P.2d 211 (1948).

The second principle is that when the governing body of a student University imposes and collects mandatory student fees on behalf of student governments, those fees become the property of the institution and may be expended as the governing board may direct. It has been stated by Young and Gehring in *The College Student and the Courts*, p 2-1 (1977): "The governing board of an institution has final authority in authorizing fees and in determining the activities which such fees will support. Administration officials may not absolve themselves of their responsibilities as to the control and supervision of the expenditure of these funds."

In the case of *Good v. Associated Students of the University of Washington*, 542 P.2d 762 (Wash. 1975), the Washington Supreme Court held that the authority of the Board of Regents was sufficient to authorize the Board to provide for student activities and services through an association of students, so long as the association was an agency of the University and subject to "ultimate control by the board".

Case law demonstrates that there are a multitude of in-

stances in government where governmental powers, which by their nature are impossible to personally execute, have been delegated to an officer or a department. In all of these cases, the established practice has been that the duties which a principal is expected to perform are performed by the many persons that the principal has selected to assist in their performance. In other words the way that the Board of Regents performs its duty to control the University of Nevada System is through the people it selects, or delegates, to carry out the administration of the System. The acts of the delegates, or agents, are the acts of the principal. Student government officers are persons to whom authority may be delegated.

However, the key factor in all of this delegation is accountability. The Board of Regents is responsible to the people of Nevada for the exercise of the Board's control of the University of Nevada System. It cannot abrogate or absolve its responsibility by turning over its functions wholly to its agents. The persons to whom the Board delegates duties must be ultimately accountable to the Board of Regents for their actions. If a delegate has the sole authority to make a governmental

decision and is not ultimately accountable to the principal for that decision, there would be an improper and illegal delegation of power. The delegate would become the sole judge of his own actions and the principal no longer could be considered the source of the delegate's authority, since the principal would no longer exercise the right to control the acts of the delegate.

In the case of the language that the ASUN proposes to add to its Constitution, with the limited exception of issues of illegality or insufficiency of funds, the ASUN would have the sole and exclusive authority to expend a portion of the funds of the University of Nevada System. ASUN officers, except in the limited instances concerning issues of illegality or insufficiency of funds, would not be ultimately accountable to the Board of Regents for such expenditures. In General Counsel's opinion, this would be contrary to Article 11, Section 4 of the Nevada Constitution because it would vest the control of a portion of the functions of the University of Nevada System in a body other than the Board of Regents. There needs to be somebody who is responsible to the Board of Regents to be able to authorize expenditures made by the students.

General Counsel Klasic recommended the recommendation proposed by Dean Roberta Barnes, which effects the first sentence of Section 640.1 and deletes the second sentence to read as follows:

ASUN shall follow System and University fiscal policies when expending student government fees collected by the Board of Regents and the revenue generated therefrom.

Mrs. Kenney withdrew her second to the motion on the floor.

Mr. Klaich stated that he is not one to go against the Constitution, and that he felt General Counsel's remarks have ignored limiting language which he placed in the ASUN Constitution and they have also indicated a lack of perception that the normal budgetary process is not a method of accountability. If, in fact, the normal budgetary process has nothing to do with accountability. The question before the Board is whether there shall be daily accountability or a single accountability with the daily functional controls not exercised with



the after-the-fact censorship on the writing of every check.

Chairman Mc Bride expressed his respect for General Counsel, who is charged with the responsibility of advising the Board and recognized that attorneys disagree on issues.

Mrs. Kenney replaced her second to the motion on the floor.

Chairman Mc Bride stated 3 options to take on this matter: 1) vote on the motion which is on the floor; 2) vote on General Counsel's advice; or 3) table the matter for further study and report back to the Board in June.

Mrs. Fong related the instance with the CSUN newspaper which went into deficit of \$7000, therefore 3 issues were not published. At that point, someone should have stepped in and suspended the activities of the Yell, but nobody did, instead the newspaper went outside of its realm to solicit monies in order to continue its publication. As Board of Regents, Mrs. Fong felt that the

Board should have been held accountable and should have stopped the publication and instructed the newspaper to reimburse the deficit. The Board needs some accountability or control may be lost completely.

Mr. Chris Polemini, ASUN President, recommended approval of the non-controversial amendments 510.2B, 410.2F and the approval of the Constitution excluding 640.1.

Mr. Klaich amended his motion to approve the ASUN Constitution excluding 640.1 as submitted. Mrs. Whitley seconded. Motion carried.

Mr. Polemini expressed his discouragement regarding General Counsel's presentation, stating that the ASUN's Legal Counsel was present yesterday for discussion, but was unable to be here today. The case of King v. Board of Regents was in 1948, and Mr. Polemini stated that he was not aware of the political ramifications but the situation was quite different at that time. He stated that in the Nevada Constitution, Section 7, Article 11, "The Legislature shall provide for the election of the Board of Regents and define their duties". In changing the amendment, it states in ASUN's

Constitution, Article 6, Section 600, that the amendment has to go verbatim the way the students voted on it. ASUN does not want to add, delete or change anything and to put it in by ASUN's discretion without having the students vote on it. He emphasized that ASUN would like to have the entire Constitution approved or nothing at all. The majority of the time, the goals of this Board and UNR Administration are one and the same -- for the betterment of the University, but at times the objectives of ASUN conflict and it is necessary for the student representatives to have a free hand in regard to their actions and expenditures except for the matters of sufficiency of funds. Students must possess the right to disagree without fear of reprisal. He pointed out an agreement between UNR Administration and ASUN and the Board of Regents, which was made 7 years ago. It reads, "ASUN and the UNR Administration have developed an agreement governing the operating procedures for ASUN." In Article 1, "ASUN agrees that it is subject to all System and Reno Campus policies, rules and regulations adopted by the Regents." In Article 5, "Contracting authority for all services or independent contracts will be accomplished by ASUN. The authorizing approval of each expenditure will be subject to UNR ad-

ministrative approval as to legality." He requested a compromising agreement to such an effect as to eliminate our internal arguments before the 1985 Legislative Session. ASUN has successfully initiated a voter registration drive and now has a Standing Legislative Affairs Committee which should be used in the capacity of a fundraising vehicle for the University of Nevada and not for the purpose of strengthening student government. This Board has a responsibility to the Nevada taxpayers for the successful operation and proliferation of Nevada's higher education system, but it also has the responsibility to these institutions' current student bodies, who are the representatives of this special interest group, and are asking for a free hand in responding to student needs and protecting their rights. Mr. Polemini gave his personal word that if this amendment is passed, this will be an administration characterized by cooperation and responsibility and would appreciate the Board's support.

Mr. Klaich requested clarification by Mr. Polemini of the withdrawal of his motion regarding the approval of Section 640.1 with the inclusion of the language which Mr. Klaich has added. Mr. Polemini stated in the af-

firmative for the reason that the Constitution will have to go back to the students.

Mr. Klaich withdrew his motion. Mrs. Kenney withdrew her second.

Chairman Mc Bride agreed with Mr. Polemini in regard to the unity of the System before the Legislature. He reminded Mr. Polemini that according to the Constitution the fiduciary responsibilities are placed on his shoulders, he can be sued and held responsible for what the students do, not that the students are to be held responsible. There are many mistakes that occur, and Chairman Mc Bride has to consider his responsibility in carrying out those duties which are assigned to him, and when General Counsel advises and researches these issues, he must take the Counsel's recommendation.

Mrs. Gallagher moved that ASUN shall follow System and University fiscal policies when expending student government fees collected by the Board of Regents and the revenue generated therefrom and that Dean Barnes be directed to work out implementing procedures that are fair and reasonable and responsible. Mrs. Fong seconded.

Motion carried.

Chairman Mc Bride clarified the motion by stating that the second sentence will be struck and the first sentence will be included in Section 640.1 as recommended with the caveat that procedures will be developed.

## 22. New Business

A. Mrs. Gallagher read the following Resolution:

### RESOLUTION #84-6

The Board of Regents of the University of Nevada System commends the Xebec Corporation and its President, James S. Toreson, on the occasion of the dedication of the \$2,000,000 Xebec Computer Aided Design Center with the UNR College of Engineering. This remarkable project has already been cited by President Ronald Reagan as a national model of corporate University cooperation. It is only through such creative partnerships that our Colleges and Universities can provide faculties and students with the costly ever-changing technol-

ogy they need to fulfill their potential. We are pleased that an outstanding corporate citizen, such as Xebec, has joined the University of Nevada System in proclaiming a shared commitment to excellence in Nevada higher education.

Mrs. Gallagher moved for approval of the Resolution.

Mr. Klaich seconded. Motion carried.

B. Mr. Klaich stated that there was neglect in mentioning that UNR was the overall Big Sky Conference Championship in all athletics as well as basketball, football, and had retired a substantial amount of debt from their program in accomplishing these feats. The representatives from UNR were commended for that showing.

C. Ms. Del Papa reminded the Board that they have been on record as stating the awareness of the importance of education to economic development to the State of Nevada. The Universities and DRI have contributed much in the way of research, instruction, consulting and public service to the private sector and the Community Colleges are a vital resource to the State when it comes to vocational, technical and occupational train-

ing. This message needs to be publicly known to the Legislature and the Governor's Commission on Economic Development.

A joint meeting has been scheduled with the Commission on Economic Development and the Board of Regents on June 15, 1984, in Reno, as a luncheon session and into the early afternoon. This will be an outstanding opportunity to demonstrate the Board's deep commitment and involvement in recognizing the importance to the economy of the State of Nevada, which the Economic Development diversification plays. She gave special thanks to Dr. Warren Fox, who has been instrumental in arranging this meeting. She requested that Presidents work with Dr. Fox and the Chancellor to coordinate some selected presentations, and requested that the Board members give any recommendations they have in this regard to the Chancellor's Office as soon as possible.

## 22. Chancellor's Report

Chancellor Bersi read the following letter from Governor

Richard Bryan, dated May 16, 1984:



A timely and significant presentation was recently made to my Commission on Educational Excellence on the Final Report of the Joint Council on College Preparation and on the Board of Regents' new policy on English Placement.

Dr. Warren Fox, Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs, made an outstanding presentation of these issues before the Commission. The recommendations concerning high school preparation for College were delivered in a manner readily understood by all. I was also impressed with the Regents' decisive action to standardize student placement in English courses within the University System.

Would you please convey my appreciation to Dr. Fox, the members of the Board of Regents, and the members of the Joint Council on College Preparation for their accomplishments?

Sincerely,

/s/ Richard H. Bryan

Governor

The meeting adjourned at 4:15 P.M.

Mary Lou Moser

Secretary

05-17-1984